Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/673,765 V	KNAPPMILLER, LEONARD V.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	George L. Walton	3753
All Participants:	Status of Application	n: 1 st Action Non-Final
(1) Mr. Kevin Ellicott - attorney.	(3)	
(2) Mr. George L. Walton - examiner.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 24 September 2004	Time: 3:20 PM	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ A Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes If Yes, provide a brief description:	Applicant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: All		
Claims discussed: 1-2 and 5		
Prior art documents discussed: Herberger, Chadbourne, Rausch, Miskiewicz and Laugen		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE C See Continuation Sheet	GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT	WAS DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separative directly resulted in the allowance of the application of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separative did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief sur 	on. The examiner will provide a arate record of the substance o	written summary of the substance of the interview, since the interview
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (App	olicant/Applicant's Representati	ve Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: In view of the above prior art, changes were proposed and made to claims 1 and 5 to more clearly define the claimed invention and prevail over the above prior art. Such changes properly place this application in condition for allowance. Details of the changes can be seen in the accompanying "Examiner's Amendment".